PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY REC'D 2 1 JUL 2005

BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP World Exchange Plaza

1100 - 100 Queen Street OTTAWA, Ontario Canada, K1P 1J9

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

14 July 2005 (14-07-2005)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PAT2796W-90

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No. PCT/CA2005/000438 International filing date (day/month/year) 23 March 2005 (23-03-2005)

Priority date (day/month/year) 23 March 2004 (23-03-2004)

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7) G01R-31/06, G01M-15/00, G06F-17/10

Applicant

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA ET AL

I.	Thi	s opinion	contains	indication	s relating	to the	following	items	:
----	-----	-----------	----------	------------	------------	--------	-----------	-------	---

[X] Box No. I

Basis of the opinion

[] Box No. II

Priority

Box No: III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

] Box No. IV

Lack of unity of invention

[X] Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

[] Box No. VI

Certain documents cited

[X] Box No. VII

Certain defects in the international application

[X] Box No. VIII

Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/CA Canadian Intellectual Property Office Place du Portage I, C114 - 1st Floor, Box PCT 50 Victoria Street Gatineau, Quebec K1A 0C9

Date of completion of this opinion

Authorized officer

13 May 2005 (13-05-2005)

David E. Green (819) 994-8213

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

Facsimile No.: 001(819)953-2476

Page 1 of 5

В	ox N	No. I	Basis of this opinion	
1.	W	ith r	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on th	e basis of:
	[X	(] 1	the international application in the language in which it was fil	ed .
	[] :	a translation of the international application into	, which is the language of a
		1	translation furnished for the purposes of international search (H	Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2.	W	ith r aime	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence discloss ed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	ed in the international application and necessary to the
	a.	type	pe of material	
		[] a sequence listing	
		Į] table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b.	forr	mat of material	
		[] on paper	•
		[) in electronic form	j
	c.	time	ne of filing/furnishing	
		.[] contained in the international application as filed.	
		[] filed together with the international application in electron	ic form
		[] furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of	of search.
3	[b	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a been filed or furnished, the required statement that the informa to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the app	tion in the subsequent or additional copies is identical
4.	Αd	lditic	onal comments :	
	•			
				·
				•
				•

International application No. PCT/CA2005/000438

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or is applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					ıstrial
1. Statement					
Nove	lty (N)	Claims	<u>1-35</u>		YES
		Claims	none		NO .
Inven	tive step (IS)	Claims	<u>1-35</u>		YES
		, Claims	none	•	NO
Indus	trial applicability (IA)	Claims	<u>1-35</u>		YES
		Claims	none	·	NO
			•		

2. Citations and explanations:

The closest prior art is D1 US4857856 1989-08-15 G01R-31/06 Coleman et al. D1 discloses on site testing for slackening of transformer windings, by monitoring modulation of high-frequency signal injected into a conductor while a supply frequency signal is flowing in the conductor.

1. Novelty

None of the cited documents discloses a diagnostic device for generating a characteristic impedance for an electrical winding having an input terminal and an output terminal, which uses a processing means for calculating this impedance based on a transmission line model of the electrical winding, as recited in independent claim 1, or a corresponding method as recited in independent claims 18 and 29. As such, these claims and all their dependent claims are considered to be novel, in accordance with PCT Art.33(2).

2. Inventive Step

There is nothing in any of the cited art that would lead one skilled in the art to the features as recited in independent claims 1, 18 and 29, and as such, these claims, and all their dependent claims, are considered to involve an inventive step, in accordance with PCT. Art. 33(3).

3. Industrial Applicability

The subject matter of all claims 1-35 clearly has industrial applicability, in accordance with PCT. Art. 33(4).

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE

International application No.

	INTERNATIONAL	SEARCHING AUTH	ORITY		PCT/CA2005/000438	
Box No. VII	Certain defects in the in	ternational application	n			
The following de	efects in the form or contents	of the international ap	plication have be	en noted:		
In accordance to the detailed	with PCT Rules 5(a) and 5 descriptions of the figures	(b), the brief descrip , which seem to begi	otion of the drawn n on page 2.	wings (currentl	y on page 10) should be pla	ced prior
In accordance v	with PCT Rule 10.2, the re	eference signs must b	e consistent the	oughout the ap	oplication. On pages 13-14	and in
					mh, in that they are shown	
and ${ m E}_{ m mk}$ in th	e figures.					
				-		
n						
					•	
		·				
			•		•	
	٠.					
			•			
	•					
•						
		•				
				•		
		-				

			PC1/CA2005/000438				
Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the internation						
The following obs	The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:						
Claims 11 and 12	2 are not in accordance with PCT Rule	6.2, in that they rely on explicit refere	nces to figures 6 and 8.				
Claims 11 and 12 equations.	2 are not in accordance with PCT Art. 6	i. These claims should clearly define a	Il of the terms used in the recited				
Claims 4, 21 and in that it implies those values are.	24 are not in accordance with PCT Art that some undefined values outside of the some undefined values outside of the sound	t. 6. The use of the phrase "at least abo the specified range are intended to be o	out" is considered to be unclear, covered, without specifying what				
		·					
		·					
			•				
	•						
	•						

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT REC'D 2 1 JUL 2005

To:

BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP

World Exchange Plaza 1100 - 100 Queen Street OTTAWA, Ontario Canada, K1P 1J9

29/9

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

وتركي كريان

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

14 July 2005 (14-07-2005)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PAT2796W-90

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

PCT/CA2005/000438

International filing date (day/month/year) 23 March 2005 (23-03-2005)

Priority date (day/month/year) 23 March 2004 (23-03-2004)

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7) G01R-31/06, G01M-15/00, G06F-17/10

Applicant

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA ET AL

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

[X] Box No. I

Basis of the opinion

[] Box No. II

Priority

Box No. III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Box No. IV

Lack of unity of invention

[X] Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. I(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

[] Box No. VI

Certain documents cited

[X] Box No. VII

Certain defects in the international application

[X] Box No. VIII

Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/CA Canadian Intellectual Property Office Place du Portage I, C114 - 1st Floor, Box PCT 50 Victoria Street Gatineau, Quebec K1A 0C9 Date of completion of this opinion

Authorized officer

Facsimile No.: 001(819)953-2476

13 May 2005 (13-05-2005)

David E. Green (819) 994-8213

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

Page I of 5

В	ox N	io. I	Basis of this opinion	
1.	W	ith	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the ba	sis of:
	[X]	נז	the international application in the language in which it was filed	·
	[]	a translation of the international application into	, which is the language of a
			translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rule	s 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2.			regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in ed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	n the international application and necessary to the
	a.	typ	e of material	
		[] a sequence listing	
		[] table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b.	for	mat of material	
		[] on paper	
		Į] in electronic form	
	c.	tim	e of filing/furnishing	
		[] contained in the international application as filed.	
		[] filed together with the international application in electronic for	orm
		[] furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of se	earch.
3	[In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a seq been filed or furnished, the required statement that the information to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the applica-	in the subsequent or additional copies is identical
4.	Ad	lditi	onal comments :	
			•	
•				

International application No. PCT/CA2005/000438

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1. Statement					
Novel	ty (N)	Claims 1-35	YES		
		Claims none	NO		
Invent	ive step (IS)	Claims 1-35	YES		
		Claims none	NO		
Indust	rial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-35	YES		
·		Claims none	NO		
		Claims none	N		

2. Citations and explanations:

The closest prior art is D1 US4857856 1989-08-15 G01R-31/06 Coleman et al. D1 discloses on site testing for slackening of transformer windings, by monitoring modulation of high-frequency signal injected into a conductor while a supply frequency signal is flowing in the conductor.

1. Novelty

None of the cited documents discloses a diagnostic device for generating a characteristic impedance for an electrical winding having an input terminal and an output terminal, which uses a processing means for calculating this impedance based on a transmission line model of the electrical winding, as recited in independent claim 1, or a corresponding method as recited in independent claims 18 and 29. As such, these claims and all their dependent claims are considered to be novel, in accordance with PCT Art.33(2).

2. Inventive Step

There is nothing in any of the cited art that would lead one skilled in the art to the features as recited in independent claims 1, 18 and 29, and as such, these claims, and all their dependent claims, are considered to involve an inventive step, in accordance with PCT. Art. 33(3).

3. Industrial Applicability

The subject matter of all claims 1-35 clearly has industrial applicability, in accordance with PCT. Art. 33(4).

International application No. PCT/CA2005/000438

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

In accordance with PCT Rules 5(a) and 5(b), the brief description of the drawings (currently on page 10) should be placed prior to the detailed descriptions of the figures, which seem to begin on page 2.

In accordance with PCT Rule 10.2, the reference signs must be consistent throughout the application. On pages 13-14 and in figures 5 and 6, there seems to be some conflict with the subscripts in the terms E_{kh} and E_{mh} , in that they are shown as E_{kk} and E_{mk} in the figures.

		EARCHING AUTHOR		PCT/CA2005/000438			
Box No. VIII	Certain observations on th	ne international applicat	ion				
The following obs by the description,	The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:						
Claims 11 and 12	2 are not in accordance wit	th PCT Rule 6.2, in tha	t they rely on explicit refere	ences to figures 6 and 8.			
Claims 11 and 12 equations.	2 are not in accordance wit	th PCT Art. 6. These cl	aims should clearly define	all of the terms used in the recited			
Claims 4, 21 and in that it implies those values are.	Claims 4, 21 and 24 are not in accordance with PCT Art. 6. The use of the phrase "at least about" is considered to be unclear, in that it implies that some undefined values outside of the specified range are intended to be covered, without specifying what those values are						
				,			
		•					
				•			
	,			•			
			•				
			•				
				•			
		·					